Lessons from the Past: How to best Design a F-BEVAR





Eric Verhoeven, A. Katsargyris, P. Marques de Marino Paracelsus Medical University & General Hospital Nuremberg, Germany

Disclosures

• Cook: Royalties, Speaker, Consultant

• Bentley: Speaker, Consultant

• Getinge: Speaker, Consultant

Introduction

• Evolution over the past 20 Years

- Choices to be made: avoid being "dogmatic"
 - Every Design pros/cons
 - Best Solution for each Patient
 - Anatomic
 - Diameter of the Aneurysm
 - Life Expectation of the Patient

F/BEVAR Design

- Pararenal (FEVAR)
- Thoracoabdominal (F/BEVAR)

F/BEVAR Design

- Pararenal (FEVAR)
- Thoracoabdominal (F/BEVAR)

Basic Points of Attention

- Proximal Sealing Zone
- Target vessels
 - Number of Fenestrations
- Overlap (Fenestrated tube-bifurcated graft)
- Distal Sealing Zone

Basic Points of Attention

• Proximal Sealing Zone

Target vessels

– Number of Fenestrations

- Overlap (Fenestrated tube-bifurcated graft)
- Distal Sealing Zone

Proximal Sealing Zone (= Parallel Aortic Wall)





Proximal Sealing Zone

• The longer the better...







Proximal Sealing Zone

• But

 Stay below major intercostal arteries if possible



Basic Points of Attention

- Proximal Sealing Zone
- Target vessels
 - Number of Fenestrations
- Overlap (Fenestrated tube-bifurcated graft)
- Distal Sealing Zone

Number of Fenestrations 2x, 3x, or 4x FEVAR



JVS 2015;62:319-25

3x/4x FEVAR vs. 2x FEVAR

Advantages

- Proximal sealing
 - Longer length
 - Healthier aortic wall

- Long-term durability
 - Younger patients



3x/4x FEVAR vs. 2x FEVAR Theoretical Limitations

- ↑ Planning complexity
- **Procedure complexity Duration**, Contrast, Fluoro
- 个 M&M?





Comparison of outcomes for double fenestrated endovascular aneurysm repair versus triple or quadruple fenestrated endovascular aneurysm repair in the treatment of complex abdominal aortic aneurysms

Athanasios Katsargyris, MD,^a Kyriakos Oikonomou, MD,^a George Kouvelos, MD,^a Hozan Mufty, MD,^a Wolfgang Ritter, MD,^b and Eric L. G. Verhoeven, MD, PhD,^a Nuremberg, Germany

(J Vasc Surg 2017;∎:1-8.)

Complex (3x/4x) FEVAR vs. Standard 2x FEVAR
 – More complex graft planning (not an issue!)
 – ↑ OR & Fluoroscopy Time

but...

Same Perioperative Risk

Other Centers' Experience

Early versus late experience in fenestrated endovascular repair for abdominal aortic aneurysm

Magnus Sveinsson, MD,^a Jonathan Sobocinski, MD, PhD,^b Timothy Resch, MD, PhD,^a Björn Sonesson, MD, PhD,^a Nuno Dias, MD, PhD,^a Stéphan Haulon, MD, PhD,^b and Thorarinn Kristmundsson, MD, PhD,^a Malmö, Sweden; and Lille, France

(J Vasc Surg 2015;61:895-901.)

Graft Complexity–Related Outcomes of Fenestrated Endografting for Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms Journal of Endovascular Therapy 1–7 © The Author(s) 2017 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/1526602817691752 www.jevt.org **SAGE**

Kyriakos Oikonomou, MD¹, Piotr Kasprzak, MD, PhD¹, Wilma Schierling, MD¹, Reinhard Kopp, MD¹, and Karin Pfister, MD¹

↑ Complexity of FEVAR stent-graft design
→ Does not increase perioperative M&M

FEVAR Design Evolution



Failed FEVAR Case Example

- 71 YO Male
- 2011
 - Juxtarenal AAA
 - Dmax: 54 mm

Co-morbidity
 – CAD



Plan

Ø28

ALUVU

- 1

Ø22

33-34mm

• 3x FEVAR

Postop CTA











Redo F/BEVAR





Basic Points of Attention

- Proximal Sealing Zone
- Target vessels
 - Number of Fenestrations
- Overlap (Fenestrated tube-bifurcated graft)
- Distal Sealing Zone

Overlap Fenestrated tube-Bifurcated graft

- \geq 3 Stents
- ≤ 2 Stents...
 - Too short!
 - Risk for Disconnection



CTA @ 7 Years

- Disconnection
 - Type III Endoleak





Basic Points of Attention

- Proximal Sealing Zone
- Target vessels
 - Number of Fenestrations
- Overlap (Fenestrated tube-bifurcated graft)
- Distal Sealing Zone

Distal Sealing Zone

• Same as in EVAR



- Consider IBD if needed
 - Especially in extensive aortic coverage



F/BEVAR Design

- Pararenal (FEVAR)
- Thoracoabdominal (F/BEVAR)
 - Basic Points of Attention
 - Evolution (Options)

F/BEVAR Design

- Pararenal (FEVAR)
- Thoracoabdominal (F/BEVAR)
 - Basic Points of Attention
 - Evolution (Options)

Basic Points of Attention

- Proximal sealing zone
- Target vessels
 - Fenestration or Branch?
- Distal sealing zone

Basic Points of Attention

- Proximal sealing zone
- Target vessels
 - Fenestration or Branch?
- Distal sealing zone

Proximal Sealing Zone

- If not adequate
 - Fenestrated/branched arch
 - Debranching

\rightarrow Preserve LSA!!



Proximal Sealing Zone

- Stay below major intercostal arteries
 - Reduce risk for SCI



Basic Points of Attention

- Proximal sealing zone
- Target vessels
 Fenestration or Branch?
- Distal sealing zone





Target Vessel: Fenestration

- 90 degree take-off
- Catheterisation from <u>below</u>
- Graft in contact/close to aortic wall

	1	

Target Vessel: Branch

- Sharp take-off
- Catheterisation from <u>above</u>
- <u>Enough space</u> between graft and aortic wall



F/B-Graft with both Fens and Branches (CMD vs. T-Branch?)







Fenestrations or Branches for Renal Arteries?

Mid-term Outcomes of Renal Branches Versus Renal Fenestrations for Thoraco-abdominal Aneurysm Repair

T. Martin-Gonzalez^a, T. Mastracci^b, T. Carrell^c, J. Constantinou^b, N. Dias^d, A. Katsargyris^e, B. Modarai^c, T. Resch^d, E. Verhoeven^e, S. Haulon^{a,*}

Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg (2016) 52, 141-148

• 449 pts (235 BEVAR, 214 FEVAR)

Fenestrations or Branches for Renal Arteries?

<u>Fenestrations</u> significantly better patency rates!

Branches significantly higher instability (occlusion/reintervention)



Basic Points of Attention

- Proximal sealing zone
- Target vessels
 - Fenestration or Branch?
- Distal sealing zone

Distal Sealing Zone

Consider IBD/IBE if needed
 – Preserve IIA: ↓ the risk for SCI



F/BEVAR Design

- Pararenal (FEVAR)
- Thoracoabdominal (F/BEVAR)
 - Basic Points of Attention
 - Evolution (Options)

Fenestration with upper Approach







REINFORCED LARGE FENESTRATION #I **Strut Free** DIAMETER: 8mm DIST FROM PROX EDGE: 81mm CLOCK: 12:30 IVD: 22mm REINFORCED LARGE FENESTRATION #2 **Strut Free**

DIAMETER: 8mm DIST FROM PROX EDGE: 95mm CLOCK: 12:30 IVD: 23mm

Preloaded Catheter & Guidewire

HEIGHT: 8mm DIST FROM PROX EDGE: 105mm CLOCK: 3:30 IVD: 25mm

SINGLE DIAMETER REDUCING TIES

<u>Plus:</u> G32595 - AAA-BIFURCATED-GRAFT (As per ZFEN-D-12-45-94)

Contralateral Leg Extension **ZISL-9-59**

Procedure





Procedure





Branches from a Femoral Approach (Panuccio)



BEVAR

Catheterization of Target Vessels

- Via Upper Approach
 - Left Axilla
- Via Lower Approach
 - With Steerable Sheaths
 - Especially in Grafts including both fens and branches

- <u>Choice</u>: guided by Anatomy/Number of Branches/Risk of Paraplegia
 - Choose the easiest Route
 - Take Occlusion Time into Account

BeGraft PLUS Radial Force and Kink Resistance





Conclusions

- Pararenal AAA
 - Create a long proximal sealing zone!
 - Liberal decision towards 3x/4x-FEVAR
 - 4x FEVAR enables easier extension/repair in the future

Conclusions

• TAAA

- Preserve LSA & Hypogastric arteries!
- Stay below large intercostal arteries (where possible)
- Fenestration/branch according to each target vessel anatomy
 - Prefer Fenestrations vs Branches for renal arteries?
- Use T-Branch in larger TAAA?
 - BeGraft PLUS